## "Incursiuni în Imaginar"/ *Incursions into the Imaginary* Manuscript Review Form

Title:

Date MS received:				
Date review returned:				
Name of Peer-Reviewer:				
This Review Form should be completed Part A and B of this manuscript Review concerned.			•	
Part A – Evaluation of criteria  Please indicate your evaluation of e appropriate column. Please enter common row below each criterion.				
Cuitorio to ho votod	Evallo-4	Aggortable	II.us atisfa at	NI/A
Criteria to be rated	Excellent	Acceptable	Unsatisfactory	N/A
1. Complete, clear and well-organized				
presentation				
Comments:				

1. Complete, clear and well-organized			
presentation			
Comments:			
2. Significance of research questions /			
research hypothesis			
Comments:			
3. Description of the problem within a			
theoretical framework (where			
appropriate)			
Comments:			
4. Literature review demonstrates a clear			
relationship to the problem			
Comments:			
5. Appropriateness of research design and			
methodology			
Comments:			
6. Accurate description of research			
findings			
Comments:		·	
7. Sound argument, analysis and			
interpretation of data			
Comments:	 		

8. Logical conclusion and implications			
for education/linguistic theory, research			
and/or practice			
Comments:			
9. Appropriate referencing conventions			
are respected			
Comments:		_	•

## Part B - Recommendation

Based on my evaluation of the paper against the nine criteria in Part A, my recommendation for this paper is (indicate your recommendation with an 'X'):
Accept (The paper is accepted as it is.)
Accept with the following changes (The author(s) will be asked to revise the paper and resubmit, addressing reviewers' comments from Part A above and/or suggested changes below. The revised paper will not go through another round of review.)
Resubmit after a major revision (A second round of review will be necessary.)
Reject (The paper is not suitable for publication in the "Incursiuni în Imaginar"/ Incursions into the Imaginary)
Comments: